



Jefferys, A., Lenguerrand, E., Cornthwaite, K., Johnson, A., Lynch, M., Hyde, J., Draycott, T., & Siassakos, D. (2017). Deflation versus maintained inflation of gastric band in pregnancy: A national cohort study. *Obstetric Medicine*, 10(2), 67-73.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1753495X16681200>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):  
[10.1177/1753495X16681200](https://doi.org/10.1177/1753495X16681200)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)  
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Sage at <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1753495X16681200> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.


## University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

### General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:  
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>


**Figure 1.**

**a. Maternal Outcomes: Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Band vs National Data\***



\*Only p<0.05 presented. Rate ratio derived from unadjusted Modified Poisson regression. †Labour induced: Deflated vs National Data: Relative Risk (RR) 1.56 95%CI (0.99-2.46). ‡Labour induced: Inflated vs National Data: RR 2.19 95%CI(1.61-2.98). §Caesarean section: Deflated vs National Data: RR 2.04 95%CI (1.51-2.76). ||Caesarean section: Inflated vs National Data: RR 1.77 95%CI (1.30-2.41). ¶Gestational Hypertension: Deflated vs National Data: RR 4.74 95%CI(1.88-11.98)

**b. Perinatal Outcomes: Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Banding vs National**



**Data\***

\*Only p<0.05 presented. Rate ratio derived from unadjusted Modified Poisson regression. †Low birth weight: Inflated vs National Data: Relative Risk (RR) 2.05 95%CI (1.03-4.08). ‡Macrosomia: Deflated vs National Data: RR 2.04 95%CI (1.19-3.51).